



Your Evaluation of ACC&D's 4th International Symposium

1. Overall, how would you rate ACC&D's 4th International Symposium?							
	1. Poor				5. Excellent	Rating Average	Response Count
Overall Rating	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.3% (3)	32.8% (43)	64.9% (85)	4.63	131
	<i>answered question</i>						131
	<i>skipped question</i>						3

2. How would you rate the overall symposium on the following attributes?							
	1. Not at all		3. Somewhat		5. Extremely	Rating Average	Response Count
Educational	0.0% (0)	0.8% (1)	5.4% (7)	42.3% (55)	51.5% (67)	4.45	130
Inspirational	0.0% (0)	1.5% (2)	12.3% (16)	28.5% (37)	57.7% (75)	4.42	130
Good for networking	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	6.2% (8)	36.2% (47)	57.7% (75)	4.52	130
Quality of speakers was high	0.0% (0)	0.8% (1)	5.4% (7)	39.2% (51)	54.6% (71)	4.48	130
	<i>answered question</i>						130
	<i>skipped question</i>						4



3. Please describe your level of interest in non-surgical contraception or sterilization after the symposium as compared to before.							
	1.Less than before		3.The same as before		5.More than before	Rating Average	Response Count
I am HOPEFUL we will see progress	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	11.6% (15)	28.7% (37)	59.7% (77)	4.48	129
I see the NEED for non-surgical tools for dog and cat population control	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	28.5% (37)	13.8% (18)	57.7% (75)	4.29	130
I am INTERESTED in being involved in efforts in some way	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	18.6% (24)	20.9% (27)	60.5% (78)	4.42	129
	<i>answered question</i>						130
	<i>skipped question</i>						4

4. In communicating about the results of this symposium, we would love an overall statement about your impressions of the symposium. Will you offer some thoughts below for that purpose?		
		Response Count
		95
	<i>answered question</i>	95
	<i>skipped question</i>	39

5. Can we quote you by name?			
		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		77.1%	84
Please quote me anonymously		23.9%	26
	<i>answered question</i>		109
	<i>skipped question</i>		25

6. We'd really appreciate your feedback on Thursday night session and the general session on Friday morning. Please use the following menus to rate the following, and add comments at the bottom if you'd like.

Usefulness of Session					
	1. Not at all	2.	3. Average	4.	5. Excellent
Reproductive Biology 101 (optional session Thurs. night)	4.8% (4)	3.6% (3)	28.9% (24)	27.7% (23)	34.9
Overview on ACC&D's work and priorities	0.8% (1)	0.0% (0)	12.2% (15)	28.5% (35)	58.5
Laying the Foundation: a review of current products	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	8.2% (10)	38.5% (47)	53.3
International panel on dog & cat population control	0.0% (0)	1.7% (2)	13.2% (16)	28.1% (34)	57.0
Benchmarking surgical sterilization: non-reproductive effects	0.0% (0)	0.8% (1)	18.6% (22)	33.9% (40)	46.6
Quality of Speakers					
	1. Very poor	2.	3. Average	4.	5. Excellent
Reproductive Biology 101 (optional session Thurs. night)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	19.5% (16)	31.7% (26)	48.8
Overview on ACC&D's work and priorities	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	10.1% (12)	30.3% (36)	59.7
Laying the Foundation: a review of current products	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	10.2% (12)	38.1% (45)	51.7
International panel on dog & cat population control	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	12.9% (15)	29.3% (34)	57.8
Benchmarking surgical sterilization: non-reproductive effects	0.9% (1)	1.8% (2)	16.7% (19)	37.7% (43)	43.0
	answered				
	skipped				

7. Which of the tracks did you attend? (If you spent time in both of the tracks, please indicate the one which you primarily came to attend, or in which you spent the most time.)				
			Response Percent	Response Count
The Michelson Prize and Grants Track			48.5%	65
The Field Implementation Track			51.5%	69
			<i>answered question</i>	134
			<i>skipped question</i>	0

8. How well were your expectations met in attending the Michelson Prize & Grants Track?									
	1. Were not met		3. Met		5. Exceeded	I did not have this as an expectation	Rating Average	Response Count	
Better understand the Michelson Prize & Grants program	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	23.1% (15)	20.0% (13)	46.2% (30)	10.8% (7)	4.26	65	
Find out about the latest findings and research	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	13.8% (9)	35.4% (23)	46.2% (30)	4.6% (3)	4.34	65	
Connect with others involved in this field	0.0% (0)	3.1% (2)	24.6% (16)	32.3% (21)	38.5% (25)	1.5% (1)	4.08	65	
Get support for my project	6.3% (4)	4.7% (3)	20.3% (13)	7.8% (5)	17.2% (11)	43.8% (28)	3.44	64	
Other (Please describe below)	0.0% (0)	6.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	50.0% (8)	43.8% (7)	4.67	16	
								<i>answered question</i>	65
								<i>skipped question</i>	69

9. If you had an "Other" expectation, please describe below.		Response Count
		7
	<i>answered question</i>	7
	<i>skipped question</i>	127

10. We'd really appreciate your feedback on the key segments in this track. Please use the drop-down menus to rate and add comments at the bottom if you'd like.

Usefulness of Session					
	1. Not at all	2.	3. Average	4.	5. Excellent
Overview of the Michelson Prize & Grants program and how to compete successfully for funding (Fri. afternoon)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	11.9% (7)	30.5% (18)	57.6%
Targeted Delivery of Cytotoxins for Sterilization of Cats and Dogs (Sat.am)	1.6% (1)	0.0% (0)	6.3% (4)	30.2% (19)	61.9%
Silencing Genes That Control Reproduction (Sat.am)	0.0% (0)	3.2% (2)	6.3% (4)	38.1% (24)	52.4%
Immunological Approaches to Sterilization of Cats & Dogs (Sat. afternoon)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	6.8% (4)	35.6% (21)	57.6%
Summary of ACC&D Think Tanks (Sat. afternoon)	0.0% (0)	3.8% (2)	26.4% (14)	28.3% (15)	41.5%





Quality of Speakers					
	1. Very poor	2.	3. Average	4.	5. Excellent
Overview of the Michelson Prize & Grants program and how to compete successfully for funding (Fri. afternoon)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	8.6% (5)	27.6% (16)	63.8%
Targeted Delivery of Cytotoxins for Sterilization of Cats and Dogs	1.6% (1)	0.0% (0)	8.1% (5)	37.1% (23)	53.2%




(Sat.am)					
Silencing Genes That Control Reproduction (Sat.am)	0.0% (0)	3.2% (2)	8.1% (5)	45.2% (28)	43.5
Immunological Approaches to Sterilization of Cats & Dogs (Sat. afternoon)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	15.5% (9)	36.2% (21)	48.3
Summary of ACC&D Think Tanks (Sat. afternoon)	0.0% (0)	1.9% (1)	21.2% (11)	34.6% (18)	42.3
					(
					<i>answered</i>
					<i>skipped</i>

11. Based on what you heard at the symposium, are you more or less likely to apply for a Michelson Grant?								
	1. Far less likely		3. Same likelihood		5. Much more likely	Not applicable	Rating Average	Response Count
Likelihood to apply	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	16.1% (10)	16.1% (10)	33.9% (21)	33.9% (21)	4.27	62
	<i>answered question</i>							62
	<i>skipped question</i>							72

12. Is it likely that you will be involved in submitting a letter of intent to apply for a Michelson Grant in the next 12 months?								
	1. Will not apply		3. May or may not apply		5. Definitely will apply	Not applicable	Rating Average	Response Count
Plan to Apply	4.8% (3)	3.2% (2)	14.3% (9)	17.5% (11)	23.8% (15)	36.5% (23)	3.83	63
	<i>answered question</i>							63
	<i>skipped question</i>							71

13. After attending the Focus on Feral and Free-Roaming Felines session at the symposium:							
	1. Strongly Disagree		3. Neither agree or disagree		5. Strongly Agree	Rating Average	Response Count
I felt the presentations and discussion were relevant and valuable	0.0% (0)	5.7% (2)	0.0% (0)	31.4% (11)	62.9% (22)	4.51	35
I had the opportunity to ask questions and/or contribute to the discussion	0.0% (0)	2.7% (1)	18.9% (7)	43.2% (16)	35.1% (13)	4.11	37
I think ACC&D should take action to advance a single-treatment, 3-year contraceptive	2.0% (1)	8.2% (4)	16.3% (8)	14.3% (7)	59.2% (29)	4.20	49
Comments							23
<i>answered question</i>							53
<i>skipped question</i>							81



14. My EsterilSol experience and plans: (check any that apply)			
		Response Percent	Response Count
My organization (or I) has used Neutersol and/or EsterilSol		34.2%	13
My organization (or I) is considering using EsterilSol		36.8%	14
My organization (or I) hopes to begin using EsterilSol soon		31.6%	12
My organization (or I) plans to apply for an EsterilSol grant from ACC&D		26.3%	10
<i>answered question</i>			38
<i>skipped question</i>			96

15. Check any of the statements that describe why you attended this session.			
		Response Percent	Response Count
I came to this session to learn more about EsterilSol so that I can educate others		73.5%	36
I came to this session to learn more about EsterilSol so that my organization (or I) can decide whether or not to use the product		42.9%	21
My organization has decided to use EsterilSol and I came to this session to receive training in administration		12.2%	6
<i>answered question</i>			49
<i>skipped question</i>			85



16. After attending the EsterilSol session at the symposium I feel...							
	1. Very		2. Somewhat		5. Not at all	Rating Average	Response Count
Knowledgeable about the characteristics of EsterilSol	48.1% (26)	33.3% (18)	11.1% (6)	7.4% (4)	0.0% (0)	1.78	54
Able to make a decision or recommendation about whether or not EsterilSol is an appropriate "tool" for my organization or program	53.7% (29)	27.8% (15)	3.7% (2)	11.1% (6)	3.7% (2)	1.83	54
Adequately prepared to begin injecting dogs with EsterilSol	34.0% (17)	12.0% (6)	28.0% (14)	10.0% (5)	16.0% (8)	2.62	50
<i>answered question</i>							56
<i>skipped question</i>							78

17. The thing about EsterilSol I am most interested in/excited about is:		
		Response Count
		40
<i>answered question</i>		40
<i>skipped question</i>		94

18. The thing about EsterilSol I am most concerned about is:		
		Response Count
		38
<i>answered question</i>		38
<i>skipped question</i>		96

19. I would recommend this training to someone interested in EsterilSol			
		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		96.4%	54
No		3.6%	2
<i>answered question</i>			56
<i>skipped question</i>			78

20. How valuable was the EsterilSol training?							
	1. Not at all		3. Valuable		5. Extremely	Rating Average	Response Count
Rating of Value	1.9% (1)	5.6% (3)	29.6% (16)	18.5% (10)	46.3% (25)	4.07	54
<i>answered question</i>							54
<i>skipped question</i>							80

21. Is English your first language?			Response Percent	Response Count
Yes			71.2%	42
No			28.8%	17
			<i>answered question</i>	59
			<i>skipped question</i>	75

22. How much were you able to understand of presentations in Saturday sessions on EsterilSol?								
	1.Strongly disagree		2. Neutral		4. Strongly agree	Rating Average	Response Count	
I was able to understand what was being said.	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (4)	19.6% (11)	73.2% (41)	4.66	56	
							<i>answered question</i>	56
							<i>skipped question</i>	78

23. What do you feel was the most valuable part of this symposium?		Response Count
		103
		<i>answered question</i>
		103
		<i>skipped question</i>
		31













24. What action, if any, will you be taking personally to carry this work forward?		Response Count
		92
		<i>answered question</i>
		92
		<i>skipped question</i>
		42

25. What do you feel could have been improved about this symposium?		
		Response Count
		80
	<i>answered question</i>	80
	<i>skipped question</i>	54

26. Is there a topic or speaker you would recommend for future events?		
		Response Count
		62
	<i>answered question</i>	62
	<i>skipped question</i>	72

27. How likely would you be to attend a future symposium?							
	1. Not at all		3. Somewhat		5. Extremely	Rating Average	Response Count
Likelihood to attend	0.0% (0)	2.5% (3)	6.7% (8)	23.5% (28)	67.2% (80)	4.55	119
	<i>answered question</i>						119
	<i>skipped question</i>						15

28. Is there anything else you would like to tell us about your experience?		
		Response Count
		54
	<i>answered question</i>	54
	<i>skipped question</i>	80

29. Please note your primary affiliation/s. You may check more than one if appropriate.			
		Response Percent	Response Count
A scientist/researcher with an academic institution		29.8%	36
A scientist/researcher working in industry		9.1%	11
An animal health or pharmaceutical business professional		7.4%	9
Another pet industry professional		0.8%	1
Veterinarian or representing the veterinary profession		33.9%	41
Veterinary student		2.5%	3
Other student		2.5%	3
An animal welfare advocate or professional		33.9%	41
Representative of a foundation or trust, or an investor		5.0%	6
Government employee		4.1%	5
Public health professional		5.0%	6
Other (please specify)		9.9%	12
		<i>answered question</i>	121
		<i>skipped question</i>	13