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**Who We Are**

- AVMA
  - Not-for-profit, professional association since 1863
  - >84,000 members; > 80% of US veterinarians
- Companion Animal Veterinarians
  - ~65% of membership
  - May be in companion animal exclusive or mixed practices
- Variety of practice types (more later)
- AVMA members whose practices include other species also have an interest in nonsurgical sterilization products
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**Why Veterinarians Sterilize Animals**

- Prevent unwanted pregnancies
  - Short-term
  - Long-term
  - Permanently
  - In ‘owned’ and ‘unowned’ animal populations
- Prevent and/or assist in managing undesirable behavior(s) associated with the actions of reproductive hormones
- Prevent and/or treat diseases or conditions affecting the primary reproductive tract or secondary sex organs

---

**Considerations in Selecting an Approach**

- Population type (owned, stray or feral)
- Efficacy = Capacity to produce a desired effect
  - Specificity of approach for need (control of reproduction, treatment of disease, modification of behavior)
  - Reliability
  - Duration of action
  - Reversibility/irreversibility
- Safety
  - Patient (anesthetic risk, therapeutic margin, complications/side effects)
  - Personnel
Considerations in Selecting an Approach

- Regulatory/market issues
- Approved product?
- Requirements for administration
  - Who?
  - Veterinary supervision (direct/indirect)?
- Consistent availability?
- Facilities, equipment, and personnel required and available
- Client expectations/satisfaction
- Cultural/personal attitudes
- Result
- Expected client compliance
- Cost

How Practice Type/Needs Might Affect Approach

- Private or corporate-owned brick-and-mortar
  - Probably most flexible
  - Owned animals so can consistently access (hopefully!)
  - Client diversity
  - Equipment and personnel supporting multiple approaches likely available
  - Cost may or may not be a factor

- Nonsurgical sterilants
  - May better meet needs of owners of breeding/show/working animals or those not sure whether they want to show/breed
  - Pets for which surgery is believed to present unacceptable risk (anesthesia, complications)
  - Veterinarians less familiar with options and details
  - Minimal track record
  - Efficacy concerns (reliability, client compliance)
  - Ethical concerns for show/performance animals
  - Personnel safety concerns
  - May not address long-term risks posing concern with surgical options
  - May be “loss leader” for practices

How Practice Type/Needs Might Affect Approach

- Surgical (traditional approach)
  - Average pet owner seeks reliable, permanent solution
  - Tried-and-true solution, few complications
  - Likely preferred solution for disease/pathologies
  - But...
  - Anesthetic and surgical risks
  - Questions about long-term adverse effects
    - Risks generally low (consider actual risk, not just relative risk), some breed-related, multi-factorial
    - Hormone-related risks may or may not be ameliorated by nonsurgical sterilants (depends on risk, type of sterilant, when administered)
  - May be “loss leader” for practices
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How Practice Type/Needs Might Affect Approach

- Shelters/rescues/animal control
  - Need population-focused solutions
  - No guarantee of owner follow-up/compliance
  - Cost consistently a factor
- Likely preferred solutions
  - High-volume, high-quality surgical sterilization
  - Nonsurgical sterilants
- Mobile practices
  - Mix of privately owned, facility owned, community owned, stray and feral dogs and cats
  - Facility, equipment, and personnel limitations
  - Cost a factor, depends on type of mobile practice
  - Nonsurgical sterilants may present advantages, depending on client base and product

Adoption of Nonsurgical Solutions?

- Those most likely to be adopted will be/have...
  - Permanent
  - Predictable
  - Safe
  - Same long-term benefits as surgery
  - Regulatory approval
  - Training available
  - Cost effective
  - May be seen as a favorable option for pro-bono services
  - Ability to exercise professional judgment is key to good outcomes
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One way to get the most out of life is to look at it as an adventure.

William Feather